AI-Based Mental Health Risk Prediction

Tresna Dey

Abstract

A silent epidemic is still going on in the Dhaka universities lecture halls in the high-pressured lecture rooms and in the understaffed clinics of the rural divisions. Mental health, which has always been a marginal voice among the discourse of Bangladesh public health, is finally emerging into the limelight. This is not only brought about by awareness programs or change in cultural discourse, but it is becoming more and more about the accuracy of Artificial Intelligence (AI).

With the development of Bangladesh up to 2026, mental health assessment of risk is turned into a frontier based on data rather than a clinical discussion. Researchers are building what can be called "Digital Lifelines" of predictive systems that utilize the behavioral cues and survey responses, and risk factors in the surrounding context of an individual to identify the signs of anxiety and depression before they become crisis situations or even a trigger.

Mental illness in Bangladesh has a heavy burden which is largely unidentified. The latest national statistics show that a 2024-2025 survey revealed that one in five adults and one in four children have a mental health disorder of some kind (Elmslie et al., 2021). However, the number of people who have not been diagnosed and treated is so large that it portrays a critical treatment gap. The level of stigma is not the sole reason behind this gap but also some structure constraints in service delivery. There is a severe lack of mental health specialists in Bangladesh, and about one psychiatrist per 200,000 individuals. When the context is like this, traditional face-to-face screening models cannot be scaled to the necessary level mathematically. The implication is a silent queue of unmet need especially on the youth and women.

The crisis is concentrated in the form of university campuses. Surveys taken in the key Bangladeshi institutions show that almost half of the students have moderate-severe anxiety symptoms. The forces of academic rivalry, economic instability, and job security worries make a cumulative effect and lead to a constant cloud of mental tension. The pressure is additionally exacerbated by family expectations and inadequate access to counseling services in most cases by students. In the meantime, there are also gender

inequalities that complicate the situation. The results of the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey indicate that women are almost half as likely as men to report having a depressive symptom. In particular, postpartum depression has become one of the most important issues requiring early identification because social stigma and maternal mental health testing in many cases delay the treatment.

Within such an environment with a high prevalence and poor clinical capacity, AI provides a solution to increase coverage without substituting human care. Modern studies in Bangladesh are dedicated to classification algorithms, which can recognize individuals that have high risks based on proxy data. Such sources of data are structured survey feedback, academic achievement patterns, demographic data, and, in cases where ethically acceptable, online behavioral indicators. Instead of monitoring until a patient describes that they are in severe distress, predictive systems will be able to detect subtle patterns of risk before a clinical diagnosis of the risk is made.

Extreme Gradient Boosting, also referred to as XGBoost, is one of the strong models that have been considered in the process. According to research on rural people, XGBoost models have obtained about 93.1 percent accuracy (ROC performance) in forecasting symptoms of anxiety. The advantage of this method is that it addresses nonlinear interactions between variables in a single approach. As an example, some combination of marital status, job uncertainty, and high body mass index (BMI) can be an indication of increased susceptibility to depression even when each factor is moderate. Pattern recognition that is so subtle is beyond the ability of conventional linear regression.

Even more impressive findings have been made in the studies in universities. A pioneer 2025 study applied a Genetic Algorithm (GA) to seek an optimum logistic regression model to classify the level of anxiety in Bangladeshi students. After repetitively optimizing the predictive performance of a model by varying model parameters, researchers were able to obtain an apparent accuracy of 99.49 percent when separating anxiety levels. Genetic Algorithms replicate the activities of evolution such as selection, mutation, recombination to optimize model structure. Here, the method facilitated the accurate determination of predictors with high impact like continuing worry about academic matters, financial strain, and sleeping problems. Although this high accuracy rate needs to be proven on a larger-scale, the results show the transformative potential of algorithmic optimization.

However, predictive accuracy is not good enough when used in mental health. Interpretation is critical. There are tools like SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations), which enable the researcher and clinician to know why a model considers an individual to be high risk. The interpretability in the Bangladeshi context demonstrates that social predictors of mental health vulnerability are usually the strongest when compared to biological ones. The academic pressure, doubts about the employment opportunities, disagreements in the marriages, in the early matrimonial experiences are often presented as the most prevalent characteristics. Psychosocial stressors are also in interaction with physical comorbidities, e.g., hypertension or chronic

illness, to increase risk. Mapped in an open way, AI systems may suggest specific interventions and allow clinicians to trust them.

Nevertheless, there are significant ethical concerns when it comes to the application of AI in mental health. Psychological information is the most sensitive, and therefore it requires the utmost standards of confidentiality and control. A molestation of data is not just a technical glitch in Bangladesh, as mental ailments are still weighed down by stigma and disparaging labeling, but a potential society-wide disaster. The well-being of the person might be impacted by the disclosure of risk score, which may negatively influence the marriage, job prospects, and social status. Thus, AI systems should be provided with end-to-end encryption, safe data deposits, and stratified agreement mechanisms. Access to risk assessment must be at the discretion of individuals and disclosure on the use of data should not be compromised in any way.

The possibility of misclassification is another indicator of one to be careful. There is a risk of a false positive, or mistaken declaration of a person in good health as high risk, which will lead to unjustified anxiety and social effects. On the other hand, a false negative, when one will not recognize a person in crisis, may postpone a lifesaving action. This is why AI has to be explicitly defined as an aid to decision-making as opposed to a diagnostics tool. Human control is not easy to dispense with. Risk patterns can be identified with the help of algorithms, though only trained mental health experts can interpret the results in a broader context of clinical and cultural backgrounds.

There is also to pay attention to algorithmic fairness. When predictive models are trained with the majority of urban data, they might not understand the language of distress of the rural population or the marginalized population. The mental suffering is manifested in diverse cultural ways. A peasant in an isolated area can explain the symptoms somatically fatigue, headache, pain in the body, but can not directly explain the psychological suffering. The use of inclusive datasets and regular audits of bias should be used to guarantee fair performance between the demographic groups.

In the future, the 2026 and beyond vision is incorporating the use of AI-based risk prediction into the Clinical Decision-Support Systems (CDSS) of the Upazila Health Complexes and university counseling centers. In this case, the risk profile could be created within minutes following a short-term digital screening questionnaire done on a tablet or a smartphone. High-risk individuals might be given low-cost, early interventions, such as a regular counseling session, joining peer-support groups, stress management classes, or monitoring follow-up. The system will be able to reduce human suffering and long-term healthcare costs by preventing the growth of mild depressive episodes or suicidal crisis, as it can be prevented in its early stages.

The key principle in this deployment is the human-in-the-loop. Warmth of humanity should never be substituted with AI productions. Delivery of sensitive information especially involving mental health

involves compassion, cultural sensitivity and professional judgment. Detection can be enhanced with technology; although recovery is essentially a relationship. The counselors, psychologists, and community health workers should uphold their place at the center of the care route.

AI is not meant to replace the couch of a therapist or the human heart. Instead, it is like a Digital Ear, it can pick up the smallest traces of distress in a system where millions of people endure in silence. Predictive analytics can be used to implement a practical approach to scaling screening and prioritizing care in a resource-constrained environment. However, its legality requires ethical rigor, privacy protection and constant review.

In the same way that Bangladesh re-invents its system of providing public health in the digital era, mental well-being must be a key concern of that vision. Through the synthesis of its computational accuracy and strong dedication to dignity and justice, AI-driven mental health risk prediction can convert its silent suffering to early intervention. By doing so it confirms one strong idea: that mental health is not an individual infirmity but a civic right - and that in the age of data no plea of need must be unheard.